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* Based on data from the FY 2017/18 Family Planning Budget Scorecards for Malawi, Tanzania, Uganda, and Zambia (FY 2018)
FP programs are less likely to receive government budget allocations compared to contraceptives.

If they do, allocation is a small fraction of funding need.

- **Malawi and Tanzania**: $0 / fully donor dependent (no dedicated FP programs budget line)
- **Zambia**: 1.76% of funding need
- **Uganda**: 10% of funding need (no dedicated FP programs budget line)
GREATER GOVERNMENT INVESTMENT IN CONTRACEPTIVES

Contraceptives allocations higher, but still fractions of funding need.

MALAWI: 2.55% of funding needed
UGANDA: 8.53% of funding needed
ZAMBIA: 14.26% of funding needed
TANZANIA: 27.9% of funding needed

3 out of the 4 countries (Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia) combine contraceptives allocation with allocation for drugs/medicines or reproductive health supplies (not disaggregated)
FP SMALL FRACTION OF HEALTH BUDGETS

All four countries: FP programs allocations less than 1% of total health budget

- Lowest is 0% (Malawi, Tanzania)
- Highest is 0.39% (Uganda)

All four countries: Contraceptives allocations less than 2% of total health budget

- Lowest is 0.11% (Malawi)
- Highest is 1.4% (Tanzania)
BUDGET EXECUTION VARIES

NO FP PROGRAMS BUDGET EXECUTION DATA
in Malawi, Tanzania, Uganda

ZAMBIA SPENT 100% OF FP PROGRAMS ALLOCATION
1.76% of total funding need

Governments better at spending contraceptives allocations

Zambia
allocation spent
103%

Malawi
allocation spent
86%

Tanzania
allocation spent
14.3%

Uganda
had overstocks, so no spending in FY 2017/18
0%
WHAT DISAGGREGATED DATA TELLS YOU

Malawi

- FY 2017/18: 94% of contraceptives allocation spent in last month of FY (June 2018)
- As of 31 Jan. 2019, no contraceptives funds had been spent (FY July-June)

Tanzania

- FY 2017/18 and FY 2018/19: 100% of contraceptives allocations spent in last quarter

Causes? Difficult to assess without further investigation. Partners report late, unpredictable and/or inadequate disbursements as contributing factors.
LACK OF DISBURSEMENT DATA

Expenditure data proxy for disbursement data

MOH ANNUAL REPORTS AVAILABLE UPON REQUEST
Not disaggregated by month or quarter
(FP Programs and Contraceptives)

ZAMBIA

QUARTERLY VOTE PERFORMANCE REPORTS ONLINE
(Contraceptives only, no FP Program data—lack of budget line)

UGANDA

Disaggregated disbursement data in internal documents, not publicly available

MONTHLY RH DIRECTORATE EXPENDITURE PERFORMANCE REPORTS
(Contraceptives only, no FP Programs allocation)

MALAWI

QUARTERLY MOH BUDGET RELEASE AND EXPENDITURE REPORTS, WARRANTS OF FUNDS
(Contraceptives only, no FP Programs allocation)

TANZANIA
**FP EXPENDITURE PER CAPITA (WRA)**

No international benchmark, so impossible to know how each country measures up.

Only Zambia had FP programs data: ZMK 0.20 (US$ 0.02) per WRA

**Contraceptives Expenditure per WRA:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Expenditure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MALAWI</td>
<td>MWK 16 (US$ 0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TANZANIA</td>
<td>TZS 161 (US$ 0.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAMBIA</td>
<td>ZMK 4.62 (US$ 0.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGANDA</td>
<td>$0 (overstocks in FY2017/18)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Little to no ownership of FP programs (expenditure as percentage of funding need):

- **MALAWI**: No allocation/expenditure
- **TANZANIA**: No allocation/expenditure
- **UGANDA**: No expenditure data
- **ZAMBIA**: 1.76%

Marginally better ownership of contraceptives:

- **Malawi**: 2%
- **Tanzania**: 4%
- **Zambia**: 14.65%